UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,850	11/25/2003	Petrus T. de Koning	D/A3139	6944
Patent Docume	7590 06/20/200 ntation Center	EXAMINER		
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor 100 Clinton Ave. S. Rochester, NY 14644			ZHENG, JACKY X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,850	DE KONING, PETRUS T.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JACKY X. ZHENG	2625		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wifor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whi have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ch the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee all statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<ul> <li>3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for</li> </ul>	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	d 41.33(a)).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	le if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) window the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-24.	ll not be entered, or b)
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
<ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of th REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does</li> </ul>	·
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/13. Other:	
/Twyler L. Haskins/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Jacky X. Zheng/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added and/or amended limitations in the independent claim 1 of "whereby, when the document handler is moved away from the main body of the apparatus, the pane moves with the document handler", will require performing of further search and consideration..